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The impetus for this project was practical. Several card boxes had been following me around for over 30 years from place to place to where I now live, and it was time to clean and clear spaces. The contents of the boxes marked “archive” were a jumble of disintegrating papers and odd video formats, slides, photos and super-8 film reels. I decided, as I am far enough away from my performing artist days, to take a look. I don’t know how to begin the task of preparing for a formal archive, but was sensing that this was my ultimate goal. How to begin? So I did what I have always been doing when it comes to getting art out and about, and that is, to invite others into the fray.

I decided that an arbitrary starting point might be with the artists who appeared in the Dance & Dancers concert at the Harold Prince Theater in 1980. Thirty years ago I had invited some of my dancer friends and colleagues to join me in presenting our work in a formal theater. At the time there was no local dance presenter other than those in converted storefront galleries. (Etage, Painted Bride and Nexus, for example). Many of us had taken to the streets and parks quite literally. I felt it was time to place our work on a real stage and see how we fared. The Painted Bride helped us get support from the PA Arts Council. The two weekends of “post modern” artists at the Harold Prince Theater at Penn’s Annenberg Center sold out. Only the Alternative press took notice, (since there were no dance critics at the three daily papers at that time).

The choreographer/dancers featured were: Jano Cohen, Wendy Hammarstrom, me plus Ishmael Houston-Jones who collaborated with Michael Biello (who was also a “performance” and visual artist) and musician/composer Dan Martin, along with two other dancers Tonic Guerra and Jeff McMahon. Actually, some of the other works were collaborations with other dancers, musicians and visual artists, as well. So, I contacted Jano, Wendy, Ishmael, Dan and Michael to see if they were game to look back at that time and reconstruct or present in some way something of what we were doing then. They were all up for it. And so Philadelphia Dance Projects’ Local Dance History Project came to be.

With a small grant from the PA Humanities Council, we began planning. Further into the process The Pew Center for Culture and Heritage through Dance Advance awarded the Project a large enough grant to make it happen.

We five artists met over several months in 2009 along with Lisa Kraus and Anna Drozdowski, whom I had invited for their exemplary skills at articulation, and who would moderate discussions around the Forum and performances.

We kept Wendy, who is on the West Coast, in the loop via e-mail. We discussed the charge of delivering some sort of semblance to the work and flavor, and temper of those times to a new generation of artists and to contemporary dance-going audiences vis-a-vis reconstructed excerpts of works to be performed as part of Philadelphia Dance Projects Presents 2010. I hoped that the performances and the forum day would answer some questions I frequently get from younger artists who are curious about what “came before” on the Philly dance scene.
The audition, rehearsal process and the organizing and administering of all the logistics around the project continually built toward the suspense of its realization. For me, the doing of all this never hinted at how fulfilling seeing the works in performance would actually be.

To see the consummate performers in “He & He,” “What We’re Made Of,” “DEAD,” “The Wonder of Living Things,” “Snow Falling on Waves,” and “Stolen Poem,” was gratifying in every way. The works emerged fresh, alive—full of vigor, humanity and beauty.

The Project has also brought to the surface very meaningful and important aspects of being creative dance artists that we seldom give voice to:

what do we mean by a dance community?
what styles and creative pursuits are innovative or experimental?
what is the value of intergenerational exchange?
why is it important to share our history?

This catalog is meant to reflect on the past and the present as seen through the process and fruition of the Project. The Project models a way to the future in terms of to share and to keep a history. We hope it will serve to extend the conversation about the curated programming. In this way, as with a visual art catalog, the experience of the live event is given context and a home outside of the frame of the theater. Despite the technological advances of media, dance is predominately passed down in the same method as oral history. To capture that history in this catalog format gives weight to the ephemeral we seek when it all came together. It will become something to hold onto beyond the paper program, and enrich the experience of those who were there, as audience or performers, as well as illuminate the event for those who were unable to participate.

PDP’s Local Dance History Project could become an impetus to create mechanisms for people who want to access information about local dance artists and their history. I can envision a next-phase of making all the information and documentation that this Project has engendered readily accessible, as the assemblage of images and words in this catalog is commemorative but by no means complete.

The Local Dance History Project is getting a jump start on my cardboard boxes. Materials have been digitalized and on the way towards a 21st-century archive. It was so much more pleasurable and richer sharing this experience with everyone, of “re-membering” (to conjure the original root meaning of re-constituting the body back together) both physically and philosophically. To be continued. …

[April 2010]
Anna Drozdowski formulated seven questions for performers in the Local Dance History Project.

How has working on this project affected your idea of “local”?

HEATHER: I thought I knew most of the dancers here in Philly, but I was happily surprised to be cast with ALL folks that I have never performed with, and have actually only seen few in other performances. My sense of the local scene was expanded by this experience, and I know the Philly modern dance community, now, to be larger than I had originally thought.

SCOTT: Having lived in Philly for the past 6 years, the local dance community can, at times, seem very small and all too familiar. However, after completing this project, I have a different perspective regarding those who make up the local dance community. I first met Dan Martin and Michael Biello at their gallery three years ago, during the Fringe Festival. However, only after PDP announced the Local Dance History artists did I realize that they are performers and have been making work here for over thirty years. This project has certainly made me wonder what other inspiring artist are just beyond my “local radar.”

HEATHER: I had originally thought Movement Brigade’s show up this week as “local” and I thought, yeah, we’re local. I still feel like I am becoming “local.” I guess to me that means work that comes from the energy of Philly and people living and making work here now.

Tell me what I’m missing…. What you most want to talk about regarding your involvement in this process?

HEATHER: This was awesome…. Let’s bring folks together again in these awesome, framed arrangements that might not otherwise happen…. Thanks for doing this.

WILLIAM: Since graduating UA Arts I have stayed relatively close to my connections established at the school, professionally doing most of my work with the professors I had there. I have been living in Philadelphia for six years now and have only made superficial connections with some of the wonderful “local” artists here. This project has affected my idea of local drastically, in that it has introduced me deeply to the local network of artists and my place within this community.

ALIE: I don’t know, honestly. Someone wrote Movement Brigade’s show up this week as “local” and I thought, yeah, we’re local. I still feel like I am becoming “local.” I guess to me that means work that comes from the energy of Philly and people living and making work here now.

GREG: It was so great to connect to the local dance community from that era, because you saw so many continuities, as well as feeling the distance of time. It’s hard to understand how “sub-culture” is transmitted, because we hear about socialization as happening in homes/ from parents/mainstream institutions. When was I socialized as a philly dancer? Yet I feel so at home here with the mores and priorities and ideals….

JOHN: Can’t say that it has.

WILLIAM: I just wanted to thank you and Terry for having this series. I thought it was great and I look forward for things to come.

THERESA: What I’d like to talk to most is how important that funding projects such as this are in our community. I find it upsetting that so much funding for the arts has been cut in our community. There is so much to learn and experience from dance and I feel sorry that those that make the decision as to how much funding the arts get (or don’t get) don’t appreciate dance enough to give organizations enough money to make performances like this possible.

ALIE: I don’t know, it was very enjoyable. The dances didn’t seem “old” to me. They seemed fresh. It seemed like to me this could have been work that was now. I loved Dan and Michael’s work. The playfulness of it was so inspiring to me. I guess the whole show made me think about dancing—what’s too dancey? What isn’t dancey? Do people like dances? Do they like anything? And also, I should note. This show made me feel like I was kind of part of the dance community in Philly, which has a very closed feeling to me usually. Meaning, it’s small and a little insular and maybe hard to connect into at times. Somehow, through working on this show, I felt like I was not such an outsider all the time. And that was a very nice feeling.

[April 2010]
“What We’re Made Of” was originally created and performed by Michael Biello, Ishmael Houston-Jones, and Dan Martin in collaboration with dancers Tonio Guerra and Jeff McMahon, and musicians Tom Bledsoe and Charles Cohen.

Michael Biello and Ishmael Houston-Jones met in 1972 in Philadelphia, when both were 21 years old and members of Group Motion Multi-Media Dance Theater. They made their first piece together in 1976 with composer/musician Jeff Cain and visual artist Deryl Mackie. They called it “Two Men Dancing,” and it employed set and improvised dancing and storytelling. Later joined by composer Dan Martin, Michael and Ishmael would use Two Men Dancing as the name of a gay men’s dance/theatre/music collective helmed by the three of them. They would go on to create three full-length pieces between 1977 and 1980—Dances Round the Faggot Tree, Night Light, and What We’re Made Of—the last piece in this series.

For the Philadelphia Dance History Project we have revisited that piece and re-imagined it for the 21st century. This has proven to be a fascinating process for us—each of whom has gone on in his own individual direction over the near-thirty years since “What We’re Made Of” was last performed. When we came back together we immediately recognized that in some ways no time had passed at all—we felt familiar and related—with mutual respect for one another as artists who continue to do our work in the world—fearless about self-discovery and comfortable in collaboration.
The most immediate challenge in remounting this work was deciding how rooted to its time should this piece be. Originally it was a celebration of newly liberated, gay male culture. It was post-Stonewall, but it was also pre-AIDS. Should it be a document of that particular moment in time? Or should it be true to the spirit of a piece that used biographical facts and artifacts from the original performers Biello, Houston-Jones, and Martin, with dancers Tony Guerra and Jeff McMahon? Should we use the details of the new cast Greg Holt, John Luna, Scott McPhee ters and William Robinson as points of departure? These four have grown up in a very different time than we did. When asked to write coming-of-age stories that could be used in the piece, one of the new cast members spoke casually of his high school’s Lesbian/Gay/Bl/Transsexual Club. Rest assured that in the late 1960s when we attended high school there were no LGBT clubs. The younger men had to have the concept of cruising on Spruce Street in the 70s described to them. We cruised in 3D; they now cruise in 2D. But similarities remain—coming out is still a big issue; father/son relationships are still more work than play. We’ve come a long way as gay men. But we still need to think before we hold another man’s hand in public. It’s still risky business. Being called “faggot” still happens and still stings.

Ultimately it was decided that this new “re-imagined” version of “What We’re Made Of” would be a hybrid. While much of the original structure and music would remain, it would also employ the stories of the new generation of men in the cast. The original “What We’re Made Of” was built from the inside out, and revisiting the piece has given us the opportunity to build it from the outside in—to step out of the piece as performers and look back at it as directors—each with thirty additional years of age, experience, and perspective.

As we have worked, we three directors and the four dancers become seven, and we seven men stand on common ground—we are open to diving in and coming out—whatever that means to us—coming out—again and again.

—Michael Biello, Ishmael Houston-Jones, and Dan Martin, February 2010

What did you learn during this process—about yourself, the city, your colleagues or the field?

SCOTT: Working on this project made me realize how little time I spend with gay men, other than my partner and a few close friends. I have always preferred the company of women, and therefore was surprised to discover how much I enjoyed working on a project with all men. It was wonderful to get to know other male performers in the community, and, while I expected a certain amount of competition to exist between performers, I encountered only warmth and generous support.

WILLIAM: That there are brilliant people here, and that I haven’t done enough to engage that. Greg and I had a conversation about “it’s all been done”—How do you feel about this?

SCOTT: I think, yes, it’s all been done. However, I think it is important to recognize the significance of artists at different times throughout history using similar creative choices and tactics to make work. It felt particularly important during this project to notice what choices being made seemed “dated” or “current,” and why. It was interesting to me that two men being tender with each other on stage, thirty years later, still carries an “innovative” impact. It is a concept that still seemed particularly striking to audience members, whereas the subject of AIDS, while still a giant problem, to some just seemed 80s.

What was your favorite part of the process?

SCOTT: My favorite part of the project was getting to know an older generation of gay men and artists in the community. Hearing stories from when Michael, Dan, and Ishmael were young Philly performers provided me with a different perspective regarding dance lineage within the community and it was particularly interesting to learn the who-knows-who and from where and when. I loved hearing things like Myra Bazell saying,”I was totally their groupie.” I was also fascinated to learn of the “missed connections” that also exist between artists who’ve lived in the same city for thirty years making dances. How is it that Leah Stein has never met Dan and Michael, for example?

JOHN: All the guys were a blast to hang out with. It was amazing getting to know my fellow performers and developing the budding friendships that were already there.

WILLIAM: The self-exploration that took place in being a part of “What We’re Made Of.”

What was most challenging? You don’t need to be nice, I can anonymize if you’d like.

WILLIAM: Ha-ha! The self-exploration that took place in being a part of “What We’re Made Of.”

JOHN: We didn’t practice the nudity until the week before the show. Being nude wasn’t bad on stage, but felt totally awkward and uncomfortable in that one rehearsal. I can’t pinpoint why it felt so uncomfortable.
“D E A D” is a solo dance/performance piece created 8 June 1981 as part of a celebration of my 30th birthday. On the evening before performing the dance, I pre-recorded a list of every death I could remember occurring during my lifetime. I allowed for people and pets I’ve known personally; my own family members and relatives of friends; deaths of celebrities I’ve experienced through the news media; and fictional characters whose deaths seemed real to me at the time. As I heard each name called I tried to fall to the floor and rise before the next name was heard. This became a dance of exhaustion and endurance.

For these performances I asked William Robinson to recreate this piece following the original score. He created his own list. We spoke at length about what each death meant to him. His responses are his own.

—Ishmael Houston-Jones

“D E A D” (1981)  
Created by Ishmael Houston-Jones  
Performed by William Robinson  
Light Design, Matt Sharp  

[above right] Ishmael Houston-Jones in rehearsal (circa 1978)  
photo: David Rosenberg  
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“HE & HE” is a re-construction / re-imagination of several pieces we wrote and performed in the 1980s—“performance art musicals” which included text, movement, props, and original songs we created to express our long term love and deep trust for one another, as well as our determination to make a difference in the world as queer men. In this incarnation we’ve assembled the duet as three sections: “Vows”—a commitment ceremony we originally performed onstage more than twenty years before the current gay marriage battles; “Couch Dance”—which fuses elements from Michael’s solo performances and Dan’s instrumental music from the early 1980s; and “Into the Light”—an early AIDS-era song and performance piece.

John Luna and Scott McPheeters helped develop the text for the vows and contributed significantly to the couch dance. Although they are not a couple, Scott and John willingly shared stories and emotions from their own committed relationships, and generously agreed to “stand in” for us as a loving couple.

—Michael Biello and Dan Martin, February 2010

When you’re making dances now (in the present) do you think about their life-span or where they might be in thirty years?

GREG: Never.

SCOTT: As a creator, I don’t often think about lifespan of work. However, as a performer I think about it all the time. It’s a shame that so much great dance only lives for two or three nights…, especially when you’ve sometimes been rehearsing them for years. How great of an impact can art make if people never see it? I continue to be impressed by those artists in the Philly community who spend as much energy trying to tour or remount old work as they do creating new work.

JOHN: It has started to occur to me. William Robinson brings it up occasionally in the other rehearsal processes we’re involved in.

WILLIAM: Not unless my intention is to demonstrate change over a span of time…which is an idea I have been throwing around.

“HE & HE” (Excerpts from performance works 1982 – 1989)
Directed by Michael Biello
Choreography by Michael Biello with the Performers
Music by Dan Martin; Lyrics by Michael Biello;
Text by Biello and the Performers
Set and Props by Michael Biello
Performed by John Luna and Scott McPheeters
Piano, Dan Martin
Light Design, Matt Sharp
Witnessing snow falling on waves—into the ocean in Atlantic City mid-winter—gave me a depth of sensation, sensual, peaceful and grounding, that motivated new movement in me. This movement was also informed by my study of T’ai Chi Ch’uan and a Japanese painting of snow falling on a beautifully-dressed woman. All the dancers have to learn to dance together as waves of one ocean, individual snow flakes that merge into one snow fall. Dancers of today have the same challenge to perform this as dancers of 1981. Its subtle and grounded quality doesn’t fit into the usual high-energy technical requirements of modern dance. In some ways this is a meditation for the audience. Today’s public may have come to expect great feats of acrobatics.

—Jano Cohen, March 2010

What did you learn during this process—about yourself, the city, your colleagues or the field?

GABRIELLE: That I like to be a person and that I’m used to being a person in dance. To learn to move as one unit, one non-human entity is something I have not done in a while.
HEATHER: Well, it seems that the generally accepted modalities and processes for dancing, making dances and presenting dances has not changed much in thirty years. There is something wonderful to knowing that, and at the same times a feeling of: “Really? Have we not learned something about making and framing dances that deliver the art form from the same old ways of doing and seeing?” I could say more. That was obtrus. But, I feel like the form should push itself to be done, felt and presented in more customized ways.

THERESA: What I learned about myself is that I really miss being involved in the dance world. I work full-time in an office setting and am in graduate school for business. These days, I don’t get much time to dance or to be around other dancers. This was an opportunity for me to go back to my roots. It was a wonderful and meaningful experience for me. I really felt a strong connection to the dance I performed and the wonderful, talented dancers and choreographer that I was fortunate enough to work with.

What was your favorite part of the process?

HEATHER: Dancing, and bringing these two generations of artists together.

THERESA: My favorite part of the process were the performances and the friendships that I found in the other dancers I worked with. I met some really amazing people. I felt fortunate to work with dancers that are so visible and prominent in the Philadelphia dance community.

GABRIELLE: Visiting the past and being a part of something that felt like an event.

What was most challenging?

GABRIELLE: Not enough time to really absorb the quality. Developing the quality a choreographer wants is difficult and can take years.

HEATHER: Working with a group of dancers that I have never moved WITH before…. It seemed difficult to capture our working dynamic and “culture” of togetherness in six rehearsals.

NIV: I can’t be anonymous, because there is only one brown tranny snowflake, obvi!!! I feel that being identified the way I do, as it pertains to this project, was in of itself a challenge. I know that Jama believed I did well in her work as a mover. So with that I could feel confident I was in this piece based on merit and artistry. This said, my point is it is hard to be “the only one.” The marginalized minority, and the minority within the minority within the minority. I should have said this at the post-performance discussion, but I didn’t feel a lot of support to share my experience as a queer transgender person of color. Being the only brown tranny snowflake amongst a cast of white cisgender women was a challenge. In juxtaposition to Dan, Michael, and Ish-H-J’s pieces, their identities were integral to the work, as a sign of the times. In the re-casting there being effort to portray those identities made visible the translation from 1980-present, those identities were still integral to the piece. Having this kind of queer-positive work happen simultaneously with a work where the interest was to shed humanism—to embody snowflakes and ocean waves created an inner conflict for me. It makes me realize and really believe that within a rehearsal process humanism really can’t be shed at the expense of the integrity of the work. It’s impossible for me not to stand out on a stage with only white women as we collectively attempt to become snowflakes.

When you’re making dances now (in the present), do you think about their life-span, or where they might be in thirty years?

HEATHER: No, never…it’s always about “now.”

THERESA: No, I can honestly say, before this project, I never thought when I created dances of where they might be in thirty years or even if they would be remembered. It was so interesting to work through this process and re-create a piece that had relevance 30 years ago and still resonated with the dance community today.

ALIE: No, never. I thought about this the other day with “Mo and Moe’s Lucid Dance Dream.” There’s a Michael Jackson section in it, that felt really relevant after his death and still does. I just wonder if that commentary can live for another few years, or if it will not make any sense in even two years.

GABRIELLE: No, but recently I was watching some videos of mine from 2002-04, and it felt surreal. It didn’t feel like my choreography—like movement I would make now. It might be fun to perform them again, but I’m not sure it would feel like my own work—more like setting someone else’s work that I knew well.

NIV: Yes, I guess I do sometimes think of whether or not the concepts I’m using will be dated in, let’s say, thirty years. I’m not afraid of that, and actually I would hope that my work would inspire that. By that I mean progressive thought, revolution, and resistance to convention. I see how this project could help facilitate that. However, this is a thought that I’ve had my entire career.

Greg and I had a conversation about “It’s all been done”—how do you feel about this?

GABRIELLE: It feels like that comes up if it isn’t working. If something is super, you can see it over and over again, right?

NIV: Hmm, I have an interesting relationship with this statement…. To say “it’s all been done” in the general sense could mean so many things. That could mean that a sort of recycling is happening and frankly stating that we are creatures of habit. What it could also mean is, what I deem to be not cool: the homogenization of artists. So it depends on how your talking about it.

ALIE: …. to me, it’s the snobbery of art that makes artists feel like “it’s all been done” and now they have to compete with the infinity of “newness,”—whatever that may mean.

HEATHER: I agree that “It’s all been done,” but it takes a lot of will and risk and craft to make movement “speak” in 2010…... With our attention spans raised on TV, ipods, and the “remote control” over our social media, etc…. “live” interactions have been reduced to fast and efficient relationships. Yes, I am over generalizing. BUT, humans seem slow in the face of our society’s pace. It’s a challenge, but also an opportunity to make the body and movement say and do things that cannot be done another way… Maybe it’s all been done, but there is a place we all crave that has to do with body space and time. So, maybe there is much more to be “done”…

THERESA: I don’t believe that it’s all been done. I think there are infinite possibilities when it comes to creating dances. I do believe we are all influenced by one another and perhaps, to some extent, get to a point where some of our work is a replication of past dances/choreographers. That is where creativity comes in though. I believe in the creativity of a choreographer and dancer’s mind. There is still, from my perspective, so much to be discovered with movement and creating dances.
“Wonder of Living Things” was inspired by reading a charming science book for children. Children react to information about the natural world with such a great sense of wonder and excitement. I wanted to investigate that with some sense of gravity as well as humor. It was great fun to re-discover the quirky movement that was derived from the images in the text. The physicality of the movement and the memory of the performances of 1980 are still distinct in my body. Sharing this with Megan is exciting and she is re-energizing the dance with her own great personality and movement texture. My husband, Jeremy Nowak, is re-creating his role as narrator. Coaching him back into a peak performance after thirty years has been an interesting and satisfying process for both of us. Even my children gave him tips.

—Jano Cohen, March 2010

Tell me what I’m missing? What you most want to talk about regarding your involvement in this process?

Megan: I have been focusing on my work as a choreographer and it was good for me to surrender myself to someone else’s process for a short time. I liked the quick and easy repertory feeling of being in the works and the financial opportunity… I think the dancers all benefited tremendously from being able to audition and take part in a process with someone they don’t know. I hope that more of this sort of “audition for a paid gig then perform” type thing happens in Philly—a city where the inner circle of paid post-modern performers seems too limited, compared to the large number of talented dancers.

When you’re making dances now (in the present) do you think about their life span or where they might be in thirty years?

Megan: Ha! I think dances that I have made two years ago seem “dated”…. It has been dawning on me a lot lately that making work that can reference the future and past is important…the local dance history project has reiterated that for me.
STOLEN POEM (1978)
Originally performed by Terry Fox
Re-interpreted and performed by Alie Vidich
Text: Excerpt from “Memorial Day”
co-written by Anne Waldman with Ted Berrigan
Light Design, Matt Sharp

STOLEN POEM
Because my performances were often carried out in small spaces I devised a sort of “chamber” concert of solos musing on some of my favorite poems. Some were read externally. This is one that I spoke and danced. I later incorporated this poem into a full evening solo work, “Untitled,” which was dedicated to my parents —my mother who gave me a love of music and my father who gave the love of poetry.

In this version Alie has created her own interpretation using a kind of template in terms of spatial trajectory and occasional use of same gestures that I used.

—Terry Fox, March 2010

What did you learn during this process—about yourself, the city, your colleagues or the field?

ALIE: Oh, a lot. First, that when I memorize lines first, and not while learning the dance, that I am more capable. Actually, first really should be about TERRY! I didn’t know Terry that well at all, I knew her as the Director of PDF, the woman who runs Dance TAGs, and someone who seemed very important in Philly dance to me. But I got to know her as an artist, a mover, a very human maker of dance and I really learned about her opinions and motives as a person. It was very interesting to me, and still is. She especially made me think about what it is to make work and show it.... She said “we used to just put on a show and afterwards if there was anything leftover we’d go out to get a drink and food.” I guess it’s the casualness that I appreciate. Somewhere between wanting to be free and open to everyone and still create a career is where I live in my life right now, and this comment resonated in a way to me.

What was your favorite part of the process?

ALIE: Performing and talking. Watching the old videos of the Painted Bride and Terry dancing. Talking about what the buildings were like, and who came to her shows....

What was most challenging?

ALIE: Working on the solo by myself and having long periods where I didn’t work on it. Sometimes I didn’t want to rehearse the text. But that’s just my laziness, it’s not really challenging.
“Pounding The Pavement” and “Park Dance”
Two of four video “fillers” for WHYY-TV that were aired between main programs for about a year in 1980.

Created by Terry Fox in collaboration with filmmaker David Rosenberg and WHYY-TV12, Philadelphia.

Musicians: Jeff Cain and Charles Cohen,

“Pounding The Pavement” Dancers: Mary Baker, Terry Fox, Libby Gold, Fred Holland

“Park Dance” Dancers: Terry Fox with the legendary band, The Stickmen, Peter Baker, Blu Beth and Charles (“Chuck”) Mattern

Reprise from “INTERFERENCE: ASCENDING A STAIR, STOOPING AND LIFTING A PITCHER”
Visuals: A Muybridge Motion Study, and photos from the 1980 performance


This Citizens Footbook Chorus was meant to be a bridge to Alié’s solo. It also was to include in the program a snippet of the actual work that was part of the 1980 show. Originally created for “Dance & Dancers” (1980) at the Harold Prince Theater, I think this section, which I often included in workshops and classes, is not only a perfect exercise to learn how to more closely observe what you see in motion, but it also emphasizes the delightful individuality we share as human beings. The paths we tread through life are replaced over and over by others, generation after generation, yet somehow the accumulating spirits are left in the present. This is the essence of dance for me. A motivating idea behind my dancing, teaching and choreographic work is just that. Everybody has a dance, many paths. The freedom that is dance makes it all the more visible.

—Terry Fox, March 2010
Forum [excerpts]
The Performance Garage [February 28, 2010]

Lisa Kraus: There’s so much personal [history] here that the fact of reconnecting to or recollecting is very powerful just by itself—but as soon as we do that I start to think, well, yes, there’s that, and then our task today is that second layering—Why? What is it about this we really want people to know? So that’s what’s being recorded.

Michael Biello: To go back, I see it’s that time that has influenced my entire life until now. It was something given to me in this community—to step into this world that says “You have freedom here.”

Gerry Givnish: OCA [Old City Arts] created a critical mass, a culture where things live and breathe and grow. That’s what it takes against economic pressure to create a life.

Bruce Schimmel: Artists have somehow lost the fact that they have a community function. Found in a community as someone. Here’s the baker, the shoemaker, doctor and here’s the dancer. And that has actual value to a face-to-face—walking in any direction—community to have some kind of interaction.

Dan Martin: It takes an individual who has a mission…. Individuals make a huge difference.

Jeff Cain: The thing that strikes me in all of these pulling stuff out of boxes conversations, is that much happened as collaborations among constellations of people. It continues to amaze me; all the work that I learned how to do was through collaboration. Dancers were in performance pieces and it was an extension of dance in that way. It was also an extension of the environment, [the] neighborhood and city. There was a freedom to be able to be working with people and have ideas. “What if?”…. The notion of collaboration and environment going on at that time was [one] where you could do anything.

Ishmael Houston-Jones: Because in Old City there were visual artists lofts and that created a cross-pollination. There were lots of visual artists that became an influence. Dancers, performers began working together which probably hadn’t happened so much before.

Gerry Givnish: The economy of the arts was so small in those days that risk-taking was easy. There was no money at stake. The idea that art can create a community around it that money can’t. It has to be a real living community.

Bruce Schimmel: The idea of seeing something live is stimulating and exciting. So much [yes] for our attention now. We didn’t have so many choices. We had to see live [performances]. When you see dance live, you feel it. And when you’re up close, you can really feel it. There’s a lot of danger. You can’t get that in a virtual space.

Lisa Kraus: I was asked to “Tweet” during the last Live Arts Festival I would [do this] 4 or 5 times a day. The density of thought that went into that web of communication, an interesting back and forth, was like walking into a noisy room. I couldn’t deal with it.

Anna Drozdowski: Yesterday at two o’clock, the show happens and afterward there’s milling about and we’re going to start the talk back and everyone’s busy kissing one another. We do this thing where people are having a tangible thing, personal thing.

Michael Biello: Being in space like this [The Performance Garage] the audience receives a different kind of energy in a way, but it also gives the performer [away] to work so closely with the audience. I felt that last night. It goes both ways.

It is still happening. Creating work today, during this collaboration with younger dancers, has opened up a world for me where I did go see an evening at CEC [small intimate venue] because some our dancers were [performing] in six pieces. I was thrilled by it. So, it’s there. It’s not heavily funded, and still there are new ideas that are happening. It’s part of this intergenerational thing that we came up with [and] is something that hasn’t been in Philadelphia. To bring that together is the new thing that needs to happen in this city…. To work in Philadelphia with these new dancers—it’s still here. It’s the same heart beat. They’re struggling the same way…and that’s something coming out of this. I don’t know how it is that we can bring it back…. How do we lift it?
Biographies

MICHAEL BIELLO & DAN MARTIN are life-partners and longtime collaborators who have created a unique body of critically acclaimed work in musical theater, music, performance, and visual art. They are the co-founders of OULMusic and are in the GLBT Hall of Fame. Martin and Biello’s musicals and theater work has been produced in New York, Chicago, San Francisco, Miami, Washington DC, and in Philadelphia at The Hal Prince Theatre, the Painted Bride, The Arts Bank, and the Walnut Studio 5, among others. Their songs have been performed in NYC, La Mama to Lincoln Center by a widerange of Broadway, cabaret, and avant garde performers. A film version of their song “The Dance” has been screened worldwide and is in the permanent collection of New York’s Museum of Modern Art.

Additional credits include their dance, theatre, music performances of the late 1970s and early 1980s, including “Two Men Dancing” with Ishmael Houston-Jones, (1976-1980), “Sanctuary” with choreographer Jim Self presented at Danspace and Cornell University in 1983, and “Claire” created with choreographer Melanie Stewart in 1986, and presented in revival at the 2007 Philly Fringe Festival. Biello is an interdisciplinary artist whose clay sculptures and chandelier have been exhibited internationally, and are in numerous private collections. Martin has composed scores for dozens of modern dance pieces, as well as produced recordings for a variety of musicians and singer/songwriters. www.biellomartin.com

JANO COHEN has self-produced as a choreographer/dancer, who conceived, managed and fundraised for Local Dance History Project. As an artist she was one of the first in Philly to explore postmodernism with improvisational structures in performance, as well as “pioneer” the Old City section which was later developed as an arts district. She often collaborated with choreographer/dancer Ishmael Houston-Jones, and musicians Charles Cohen and Jeff Cain. Her signature choreographic work of improvised structures for dance and music was seen at local venues including all the Painted Bride addresses, Etage, Harold Prince Theater, Cornell Theater, as well as outdoor sites in public parks and Old City alleyways. Further afield, she performed in venues in NYC: Environ, St. Mark’s Church and the Cunningham Studio and was presented by PS 122 in “Hothouse” and benefit programs, as well as in a full evening of her own work (1985). Her work was also presented by the WPA in DC and Tanzfabrik in Berlin, West Germany. She collaborated with filmmaker David Rosenberg to create “Citizens Footbook” for WHYY-TV, funded by the NEA Dance Film Video program. In 1984 she left Philadelphia to become Artistic/Managing Director of the Danspace Project at St. Mark’s Church in the Bowery. She returned to the Philadelphia area in 1993 to work in the dance scene as curator and administrator. She has a BA from New York University (1983) and participated in the Whitney Museum of American Art, Independent Study Program (1984). She traveled and studied in India in 1978.

ISHMAEL HOUSTON-JONES was born and raised in Harrisburg, PA. He relocated to Philadelphia in 1972 where he performed with Group Motion Media Theater, Terry Fox and Jeff Cain, Zero Moving Company and others. In the mid-1970s Houston-Jones, Michael Biello and Dan Martin formed Two Men Dancing, a collective of musicians and dancers who promoted the creation of gay-themed performance. Ishmael moved to New York City in the winter of 1979-80; since then his improvised dance and text work has been performed in New York, across the United States, in Europe, Canada, Australia and Latin America. Ishmael Houston-Jones’ “Nowhere, Now Here” was commissioned for Mordine and Company in Chicago in spring 2001 and “Specimen” was commissioned for Headlong Dance Theater in Philadelphia in 1998. In 1997, he was the choreographer for Nayland Blake’s Hare Folies at the Brooklyn Academy of Music. From 1995-2000, he was part of the improvisor trio “Unsane/Unsulted” with Keith Hennessy and Patrick Scully. In 1990 he and writer Dennis Cooper presented “The Undead” at the Los Angeles Festival of the Arts. In 1989 he collaborated with filmmaker Julie Dash on the video “Revoltives,” which was aired nationally on the PBS series Alive From Off Center (Alive TV). In 1984 Houston-Jones and Fred Holland shared a New York Dance and Performance “Bessie Award” for their “Cowboys, Dreams and Ladders.” He is a part-time professor at Eugene Lang College at The New School for Liberal Arts, and at Sarah Lawrence College. He heads the Improvisation Curriculum at the American Dance Festival. www.ishmaeljh.com

Forum guests

JEFF CAIN’s career in the arts as a musician, writer and performance artist has spanned more than three decades, synthesizing a diverse range of interests into an original portfolio of performances, collaborations and artwork. From his early days as a rock musician in the late sixties to his longtime partnership with Charles Cohen in the seminal electronic music duo, Ghostwriters, Cain has collaborated on numerous recordings, soundtracks, theater productions and hundreds of live music and dance performances. Cain and Cohen worked extensively with Philadelphia dancer Terry Fox, developing an influential approach to improvisational dance.

As “a recovering performance artist,” Cain is remembered for his work on a string of original performances including “Living Room at the Bottom of the Lake,” “No Man’s Land” and “Objects in Mirrors are Closer Than They Appear.”

GERRY GIVNISH, after graduation from the Academy of Fine Arts, along with few dozen artists, set up a gallery in a former Bridal Salon in South Street in 1969. When not enough audience came to see their shows, they invited musicians, poets and other performing artist friends to perform during their exhibits, and thus the Painted Bride Art Center (PBAC) was begun. Gery Givnish served as Executive Director of the Painted Bride Art Center from 1969 to 1999. During his tenure, “The Bride” became the premier venue to see new work by some of the most innovative contemporary artists in jazz, dance, spoken word, visual arts and performance. His vision for serving artists whose voices aren’t always heard has left a lasting legacy in our community. He has served as panelist, consultant and board member for numerous organizations and agencies. He taught art workshops at the Graterford Prison from 1998-2006. Today, he continues to practice his art as a painter, though not professionally.

WENDY HAMMARSTROM, after several years studying visual and performing art at Philadelphia Community College and Philadelphia College of Art, as well as being a member of Bricolage Theater, attended Temple University and received a BFA in Dance (1978). She went on to found Agape Dancers, a modern dance troupe whose original choreography was based on images of nature and the original inhabitants of the area. With a yoga and martial arts influence her choreography was known for its humanitarian approach as well as for its environmental dance in public spaces. One of the premises for Agape was that everyone can dance, in their own unique way, and dance is a healing art that allows the dancers to express their inner spirit, find their centers and feel whole. Agape performed in several Old City Arts events. Since moving to California, Wendy has become a practicing and licensed masseuse. She has taught yoga and dance improvisation classes, as well as movement for pregnancy and postpartum women and their babies, and older children, including some with special needs.
JOHN LUNA, a Texas native, is a Philly-based freelance dancer/choreographer. He has performed with numerous local choreographers. He is experimenting with video editing and projection.

MEGAN MAZARICK is an active performer, teacher, choreographer in the Philadelphia community since 2004. Her choreography has been presented through NEW Festival, Dance New Amsterdam, Live Arts Festival, Goose Route Dance Festival, Triskelion Arts, and CEC's New Edge Residency. She was a Philadelphia Dance Project's SCUBA’10 artist, presenting her work in Philadelphia, Minneapolis and San Francisco. She has worked with Melanie Stewart, Merian Soto, Mariana Boan, Kate Watson Wallace, Makoto Hirano, Keith Thompson, and many others. She teaches at University of the Arts, and at Rowan University, and has been a guest choreographer at Drexel University and at West Chester University. www.mazaricknation.com

SCOTT MCPHEETERS has worked as a dancer in Philadelphia since 2005. Since moving to Philly he has performed with companies such as Headlong Dance Theater, Nichole Canuso Dance Theater, Melanie Stewart Dance Theater, Subcorde, Hotel Obligado, and Enchantment Theater Company. He is a company member of both Kun-Yang Lin / Dancers, and Mariana Boan’s BoanDanz Action. He also works as a certified massage therapist.

HEATHER MURPHY has been performing and creating dances in Philly since 1996, while also touring both the US and internationally. She was a co-founder of Mooxie Dance Collective (1999-2004), is a former company member of Group Motion Company (1999-2002) and is an original company member of Headlong Dance Theater, with whom she has now worked, with in and out and around and about for over 12 years. Heather also performs with Nichole Canuso Dance Company and Kate Watson-Wallace’s Anonymous Bodies, the Opera Company of Philadelphia and in Karen Getz’s AI Project (2011). She is the creator and founder of “Baby Loves Disco” inspired by being the “Mama” of Max and Isadora.

JODI OBEID is a performer, dance maker, and dance educator. Since her arrival in Philadelphia in 2007, her choreography has been presented at the Painted Bride’s Theatre, Mascher Space InFlux, for the CEC New Edge Mix, and for Stadium/Praxis Puppet performance practicum as well as in Washington DC, North Carolina, Toronto, and Belgium. She has been a guest choreographer at both West Chester and Rowan University, and currently performs in the work of Michelle Stortz-Ring Dance Theater, and Jaamii Kosoko-Kosoko Performance Group. She has performed with Junction Dance Theater, SORAP Performance Group, and Anonymous Bodies, here in Philadelphia. She is on the faculty at Rowan University and Drexel University.

GABRIELLE REVLOCK is a company member of Jeanne Ruddy Dance. Recently she returned from a tour in Holland with the Dutch duo, Isabelle Chaffaud and Jerome Meyer. Other international artists Gabriele has worked with include Sean Feldman, Suzanna Linke, Will Dorn and Katsura Kan. She has performed with Philadelphia choreographers Leah Stein, Myra Bazel and Matthew Neenan. Her choreographic work has received support from PA Council on the Arts (2009), a New Edge Mix Grant (2006) and she was selected as the Vassar College Alumnae Choreographer in 2005. Her films have been shown (2007 and 2008) in PDP’s Motion Pictures.

WILLIAM ROBINSON holds a B.F.A. in modern dance performance from The University of the Arts, Philadelphia. Upon graduating, William was awarded the prestigious President’s Award, along with the 2008 Outstanding Achievement in Modern Dance award. Since 2009 he has been an adjunct dance faculty at Georgian Court University. He is currently a member of Cardell Dance Theatre and Brian Sanders’ Junk. Other choreographers and companies he has worked with include the Martha Graham Dance Company, Momix, Group Motion, Curt Hawsworth, Wally Cardona, Rennie Harris, and David Crosby/ Raven Symone.

JODI OBEID is a performer, dance maker, and dance educator. Since her arrival in Philadelphia in 2007, her choreography has been presented at the Painted Bride Theatre, Mascher Space InFlux, for the CEC New Edge Mix, and for Stadium/Praxis Puppet performance practicum as well as in Washington DC, North Carolina, Toronto, and Belgium. She has been a guest choreographer at both West Chester and Rowan University, and currently performs in the work of Michelle Stortz-Ring Dance Theater, and Jaamii Kosoko-Kosoko Performance Group. She has performed with Junction Dance Theater, SORAP Performance Group, and Anonymous Bodies, here in Philadelphia. She is on the faculty at Rowan University and Drexel University.

GABRIELLE REVLOCK is a company member of Jeanne Ruddy Dance. Recently she returned from a tour in Holland with the Dutch duo, Isabelle Chaffaud and Jerome Meyer. Other international artists Gabriele has worked with include Sean Feldman, Suzanna Linke, Will Dorn and Katsura Kan. She has performed with Philadelphia choreographers Leah Stein, Myra Bazel and Matthew Neenan. Her choreographic work has received support from PA Council on the Arts (2009), a New Edge Mix Grant (2006) and she was selected as the Vassar College Alumnae Choreographer in 2005. Her films have been shown (2007 and 2008) in PDP’s Motion Pictures.

WILLIAM ROBINSON holds a B.F.A. in modern dance performance from The University of the Arts, Philadelphia. Upon graduating, William was awarded the prestigious President’s Award, along with the 2008 Outstanding Achievement in Modern Dance award. Since 2009 he has been an adjunct dance faculty at Georgian Court University. He is currently a member of Cardell Dance Theatre and Brian Sanders’ Junk. Other choreographers and companies he has worked with include the Martha Graham Dance Company, Momix, Group Motion, Curt Hawsworth, Wally Cardona, Rennie Harris, and David Crosby/ Raven Symone.
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